Table 5 (cont.)
Zn -tetrahedron

| $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}(3)}$ | $125 \cdot 3(3)^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(6)$ |
|  |  |

The numerical calculations were carried out on the 7070 IBM computer of the Centro de Cálculo Electrónico del C.S.I.C., Spain, and on the 7090 IBM computer of the Centro de Cálculo de la Universidad de Madrid, Spain. This work forms part of the Ph. D. Thesis of one of us (M.M.R.) who also acknowledges the research grant given by Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain.
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# The Crystal Structure of Copper Metaborate, $\mathrm{CuB}_{2} \mathbf{O}_{4}$ 

By M. Martinez-Ripoll, S. Martinez-Carrera and S. Garcia-blanco<br>Instituto de Quimica Fisica Rocasolano, Serrano 119, Madrid-6, Spain

(Received 20 July 1970)
Copper metaborate crystallizes in the tetragonal system, space group $142 d$, with twelve formula units in a cell of dimensions $a=11 \cdot 484 \pm 0 \cdot 004$ and $c=5 \cdot 620 \pm 0.004 \AA$. The calculated density is $4.02 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. The structure of $\mathrm{CuB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ has been determined by three-dimensional Fourier syntheses. The positional and isotropic thermal parameters were refined by least-squares analysis, yielding a final $R$ value of $0 \cdot 053$. All boron atoms are tetrahedrally coordinated. The structure consists of $\mathrm{BO}_{4}$ tetrahedra sharing the four common oxygen atoms. Each copper atom is surrounded in a planar-square coordination by four oxygen atoms. All interatomic distances are of the usual order of magnitude.

## Introduction

The binary system $\mathrm{CuO}-\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ has been treated by Weir \& Schroeder (1964) in a preliminary study. In accordance with their results two copper borates were easily prepared by heating two mixtures of boron and copper oxides. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of these compounds were taken. One compound apparently corresponds to the formula $3 \mathrm{CuO} . \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and forms laminar green crystals. The other compound exactly corresponds to the formula $\mathrm{CuO} . \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and forms deep blue crystals. The purpose of the present paper is to report on the crystal structure of the phase $\mathrm{CuO} . \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$.
The structures of several anhydrous borates with a metal oxide to boron oxide ratio of $1: 1$ are known. In some of them, namely $\mathrm{NaBO}_{2}$ (Marezio, Plettinger \& Zachariasen, 1963a), $\mathrm{CaB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{I})$ (Marezio, Plettinger
\& Zachariasen, 1963b), $\mathrm{LiBO}_{2}(\mathrm{I})$ (Zachariasen, 1964) and $\mathrm{BaB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (Mighell, Perloff \& Block, 1966), all boron atoms have a coordination number 3. On the other hand, in the isomorphous $\mathrm{SrB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (IV) (Dernier, 1969) and $\mathrm{CaB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{IV})$ (Marezio, Remeika \& Dernier, 1969b), and in $\mathrm{LiBO}_{2}$ (III) (Marezio \& Remeika, 1966), all boron atoms are tetrahedrally coordinated. Finally, $\mathrm{CaB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (III) (Marezio, Remeika \& Dernier, 1969a), an isomorph of $\mathrm{SrB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{IIII})$ (Dernier, 1969), contains $\mathrm{BO}_{3}$ triangles and $\mathrm{BO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. As part of a programme in progress aimed at achieving a better understanding of the structural principles of anhydrous borate compounds, the structure of $\mathrm{CuB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ was selected for study.

## Experimental

Single crystals of copper metaborate were prepared by annealing a melt of stoichiometry $\mathrm{CuO} . \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ at
$1.000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Under these conditions nearly prismatic deep blue crystals were obtained; they are extremely hard and insoluble in acids. Chemical analysis of the Cu and B contents in the crystals led to the formula $\mathrm{CuB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$.
The symmetry, space group and approximate lattice parameters were determined from Weissenberg photographs taken with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation. $\mathrm{CuB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ was found to be tetragonal with twelve molecules per unit cell. The systematic absences correspond to two space groups, $I \overline{4} 2 d$ and $I 4_{1} \mathrm{md}$. Analysis of the (001) Patterson function indicated that the correct space group was $I \overline{4} 2 d$. Lattice parameters determined from the Weissenberg photographs were used to index the powder pattern. Precise lattice parameters were obtained by accurate measurements of the $d$ values for several reflexions. The following dimensions were found: $a=11.484 \pm 0.004$ and $c=5.620 \pm 0.004 \AA$. A list of observed interplanar spacings is given in Table 1. The calculated and observed densities are 4.02 and 3.99 g.cm ${ }^{-3}$ respectively.

Table 1. Powder pattern of $\mathrm{CuB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$

| $h k l$ | $d$ | I | $h k l$ | d | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | $5.734 \AA$ | 15 | 413 | $1.554 \AA$ | 10 |
| 101 | $5 \cdot 042$ | 10 | 622 | 1.525 | 10 |
| 220 | 4.060 | 10 | 433, 503 | 1.453 | 4 |
| 211 | 3.792 | 100 | 800 | 1.435 | 2 |
| 310 | $3 \cdot 632$ | 50 | 523, 712, | $1 \cdot 407$ | 20 |
| 301 | 3.159 | 15 | 004, 552 |  |  |
| 400 | 2.873 | 30 | 820 | 1.393 | 4 |
| 321 | 2.769 | 45 | 642 | $1 \cdot 386$ | 5 |
| 112 | 2.653 | 40 | 741, 811 | 1.381 | 10 |
| 420 | 2.567 | 35 | 204 | $1 \cdot 365$ | 4 |
| 202 | 2.522 | 25 | 660 | $1 \cdot 354$ | 4 |
| 411 | 2.494 | 40 | 732 | 1.329 | 6 |
| 312 | $2 \cdot 222$ | 15 | 224 | $1 \cdot 328$ | 5 |
| 431, 501 | $2 \cdot 126$ | 15 | 314, 831 | $1 \cdot 308$ | 4 |
| 440 | 2.030 | 4 | 802, 840 | $1 \cdot 279$ | 4 |
| 402 | 2.008 | 15 | 633 | 1-264 | 4 |
| 521 | 1.993 | 4 | 761, 921 | 1.217 | 5 |
| 530 | 1.969 | 10 | 723, 752 | $1 \cdot 207$ | 6 |
| 332 | 1.949 | 4 | 851 | $1 \cdot 190$ | 20 |
| 600 | 1.914 | 6 | 653, 912 | $1 \cdot 157$ | 4 |
| 422 | 1.895 | 15 | 743, 813 | $1 \cdot 134$ | 5 |
| 103 | 1.848 | 25 | 604 | $1 \cdot 133$ | 8 |
| 620 | 1.816 | 25 | 932 | $1 \cdot 112$ | 4 |
| 611 | 1.790 | 45 |  |  |  |
| 213 | 1.759 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 512 | 1.757 | 12 |  |  |  |
| 541 | 1.709 | 20 |  |  |  |
| 631 | 1.637 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 532 | 1.613 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 701 | 1.575 | 4 |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation and an integration equi-inclination Weissenberg technique were used. The intensity data from five reciprocal layers along the $c$ axis were measured photometrically. For the purpose of the present work absorption corrections were not relevant ( $\mu R \simeq 1 \cdot 6$ ). In any case, such corrections would be tedious because of the irregular form of the crystals.

## Structure determination

The first step in the solution of the structure was based on the determination of the positions of the Cu atoms. The analysis of the (001) Patterson function indicated the correct choice of space group to be $I \overline{4} 2 d$. All major peaks in the Patterson synthesis could be explained by placing $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ at $\left(0,0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ at $\left(0.08, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}\right)$. Three-dimensional structure factors based on these coordinates, assuming isotropic temperature factors of $1.0 \AA^{2}$, correspond to $R=0.320$. The $f$-curves for neutral $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{O}$ and B were used. A three-dimensional Fourier synthesis, computed with the phases of the copper atoms, gave the positions of the four oxygen and two boron atoms; the $R$ index was reduced to $0 \cdot 143$. Since the structural arrangement obtained was reasonable from the crystal chemistry point of view, this structure was refined by the use of the leastsquares program ORFLS (Busing, Martin \& Levy, 1962), yielding a final $R$ value of 0.053 . Reflexions were given weights according to Hughes (1941) scheme. Since the temperature factors of some oxygen and boron atoms became negative (small values) at the final stage of the refinement, they were fixed at low values and were not varied in the final calculations. Therefore their actual values are not significant. A possible explanation of this may be the existence of some slight absorption effects, in spite of the small size of the crystal. The "positional and thermal parameters are shown in Table 2. The observed and calculated structure factors are given in Table 3.


Fig.1. The projection of one unit cell on (001). The heights of the atoms as fractions of the $c$ parameter are given. Note that a left-handed system of axes has been used.

Table 2. Positional and thermal parameters
Standard deviations, multiplied by 0.0001 , are given in parentheses.

|  | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ | $0 \cdot 0000$ (0) | $0 \cdot 0000$ (0) | $0 \cdot 5000$ (0) | $0.75 \AA^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | $0 \cdot 0815$ (1) | $0 \cdot 2500$ (0) | $0 \cdot 1250$ (0) | 0.76 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0 \cdot 1590$ (6) | $0 \cdot 0708$ (6) | 0.4981 (20) | $0 \cdot 20$ |
| O(2) | $0 \cdot 2528$ (11) | $0 \cdot 2500$ (0) | $0 \cdot 6250$ (0) | $0 \cdot 20$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $0 \cdot 2500$ (0) | $0 \cdot 0827$ (9) | $0 \cdot 8750$ (0) | $0 \cdot 88$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 0.0745 (6) | $0 \cdot 1890$ (6) | 0.7956 (17) | $0 \cdot 20$ |
| B(1) | 0.1838 (10) | $0 \cdot 1484$ (9) | 0.6992 (28) | $0 \cdot 10$ |
| B(2) | -0.0011 (15) | $0 \cdot 2500$ (0) | $0 \cdot 6250$ (0) | $0 \cdot 10$ |

Temperature factors of atoms $\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{O}(4), \mathrm{B}(1)$ and $B(2)$ were fixed (within their standard deviations $\simeq 0 \cdot 20$ ) to avoid (small) negative values during the refinement.


Fig.2. A view of the $\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)^{3-}$ unit. The bond lengths in $\AA$ are given. As can be seen from Fig. 1, these borate units are linked together to form a three-dimensional network.


Fig. 3. The structure of $\mathrm{CuB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ showing the $\mathrm{BO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. The boron atoms at the centres of the tetrahedra are omitted for clarity. The solid circles represent the copper atoms.

Table 3. Comparison of observed and calculated structure factors
Asterisks denote unobserved reflexions.


## Description and discussion of the structure

Fig. 1 shows a projection of the structure on the (001) plane. There are two types of boron atoms, both tetrahedrally coordinated. These $\mathrm{BO}_{4}$ groups are linked together by oxygen-sharing to form a three-dimensional network of $\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)^{3-}$ units. A view of the $\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)^{3-}$ unit is shown in Fig. 2. A similar borate unit has been found in $\mathrm{CaB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (IV) (Marezio, Remeika \& Dernier, $1969 b$ ). It consists of a ring of three $\mathrm{BO}_{4}$ tetrahedra, where each tetrahedron shares two of its oxygen atoms with the other two tetrahedra within the ring. Three oxygen atoms, one $O(2)$ and two $O(4)$, are shared among the three tetrahedra to form the ring. One unit shares six oxygen atoms, four $O(1)$ and two $O(3)$, with six other units. The mean B-O distance is $1.47 \AA$ with individual lengths varying from 1.44 to $1.48 \AA$. These distances agree with the results given be Zachariasen (1963) who summarized some of the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}$ distance data.

The borate network has channels in which the Cu atoms are located; this is illustrated in Fig. 3 which is a projection along (001). There are two types of copper atom in this structure. $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ is on an inversion fourfold axis and is surrounded by four oxygen atoms, $\mathrm{O}(1)$, arranged in planar-square coordination as shown in Fig. 4. The $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ distance is $1.999 \AA . \mathrm{Cu}(2)$ is on a twofold axis and is also surrounded by four coplanar oxygen atoms, $\mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{O}(3)$ and two $\mathrm{O}(4)$, at $1.902,1.886$ and $1.980 \AA$ respectively. In addition to these nearest oxygen atoms there is one set of two oxygen atoms, $\mathrm{O}(1)$, at $3.069 \AA$. These two copper-
oxygen separations, together with the four oxygen atoms arranged in planar-square coordination, form a greatly distorted octahedron around $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$, as shown in Fig. 4. This distortion from a regular octahedral coordination is generally explained as being a consequence of the Jahn-Teller effect. In $\mathrm{CuB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, the deviation from such a coordination is considerable. In this case the elongation of the interatomic distance is 1.13 $\AA$, i.e. $58 \%$. Furthermore this elongation does not occur purely along a fourfold axis of the octahedron, but is tilted $29.7^{\circ}$ about that direction. A similar result has recently been observed in CuO ( $\AA$ sbrink \& Norrby, 1970), where the distortion from the regular octahedron involves an elongation of $0.82 \AA(42 \%)$, with tilt of $17^{\circ}$ about the fourfold axis of the octahedron. The large separations, $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)=3.069 \AA$, are, however, greater than typical separations for the $(4+2)$ coordination and, hence, do not appear to be involved in the coordination around $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$. Therefore all copper atoms have planar-square coordination. The equations for the best least-squares planes calculated by the method of Schomaker, Waser, Marsh \& Bergman (1959) are:
for the oxygen atoms coordinated to $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$,

$$
z-2.8100=0 ;
$$

for the oxygen atoms coordinated to $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$,

$$
0.9353 y-0.3537 z-2.4369=0 .
$$

$O(1)$ is at $0.010 \AA$ from the least-squares plane passing through $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$, and all atoms forming the leastsquares plane passing through $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ are exactly coplanar because of the symmetry. The coordination number of the oxygen atoms is three; each is surrounded by one copper atom and two boron atoms.
All interatomic distances and their standard deviations are reported in Table 4. The individual valence angles $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ together with their standard deviations are shown in Table 5. The infrared spectra of copper metaborate show strong absorption near $1 \cdot 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Otherwise, there are no strong bands at higher frequencies (Fig. 5). These results seem to be in good agreement with the existence of $\mathrm{BO}_{4}$ groups only.

Table 4. Interatomic distances
B(1)-tetrahedron

| B(1)-tetrahedro |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.46 (1) $\AA$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.42 (1) $\AA$ |
| -O(2) | 1.47 (1) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 2.37 (1) |
| -O(3) | 1.45 (1) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2.39 (1) |
| -O(4) | 1.44 (1) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2 \cdot 36$ (1) |
| Average | 1.46 | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 2.36 (1) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2 \cdot 36$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)$-tetrahedron |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.48 (1) $\AA$ A | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)^{\text {( }}$ | 2.50 (1) $\AA$ |
| -O(11) | 1.48 (1) | $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{1}\right)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2.42 (1) |
| -O(4) | 1.47 (1) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{1}\right)$ | 2.37 (1) |
| -O(41) | 1.47 (1) | $\mathrm{O}(41)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2 \cdot 42$ (1) |
| Average | $1 \cdot 47$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2.37 (1) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{1}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{1}\right)$ | 2.37 (1) |

Table 4 (cont.)
$\mathrm{Cu}(1)$-square plane

| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.999(8) \AA$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Average | 1.999 |
|  | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$-square plane |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.902(12) \AA$ |
| $-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.886(10)$ |
| $-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.980(8)$ |
| $-\mathrm{O}(41)$ | $1.980(8)$ |
| Average | 1.937 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $3.069(8) \AA$ |

Table 5. Valence angles
$\mathrm{B}(1)$-tetrahedron

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $111(1)^{\circ}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $108(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $111(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $108(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $107(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $108(1)$ |


(a)

(b)

Fig.4. The oxygen atom arrangement around (a) $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$, (b) $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$. The bond lengths in $\AA$, and corresponding valence angles are given.


Fig. 5. Infrared spectrum of copper metaborate.

Table 5 (cont.)
B(2)-tetrahedron

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{1}\right)$ | $114(1)^{\circ}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{11}\right)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $110(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{1}\right)$ | $107(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(4^{1}\right)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $110(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $106(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{1}\right)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{1}\right)$ | $106(1)$ |

$\mathrm{Cu}(1)$-square plane
$\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) \quad 90.0(3)^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{Cu}(2)$-square plane

| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $92.3(4)^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-$ | $87.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(4^{1}\right)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{1}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(27.7(3)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $73.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-$ | $-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{1}\right)$ |
|  | $67.0(4)$ |

The numerical calculations were carried out on the 7070 IBM computer of the Centro de Cálculo Electrónico del C.S.I.C., Spain and on the 7090 IBM computer of the Centro de Cálculo de la Universidad de Madrid, Spain. This work forms part of the Ph. D. Thesis of one of us (M.M.R.) who also acknowledges the research grant given by Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain.
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